



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/785,678	02/16/2001	Gareth J. Mueckl	UEM-101US 3880	
7590 05/09/2005		EXAMINER		
Donald J. Ersler 725 Garvens Ave.			LEE, Y YOUNG	
Brookfield, WI 53005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2613	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/785,678	MUECKL ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Y. Lee	2613			
The MAILING Period for Reply	3 DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE MAILING DAT - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS free. - If the period for reply signs of the period for reply is seen that the period for reply within the Any reply received by the	FATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E OF THIS COMMUNICATION. be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 om the mailing date of this communication. crified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply pecified above, the maximum statutory period verset or extended period for reply will, by statute, a Office later than three months after the mailing timent. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is 3)□ Since this ap	o communication(s) filed on <u>22 Fe</u> FINAL. 2b) This plication is in condition for alloware ordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the about 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>20-2</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	4) Claim(s) 20-29,31-37 and 39-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-29,31-37 and 39-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Application Papers						
10)⊠ The drawing(s Applicant may Replacement o	ion is objected to by the Examine i) filed on 22 February 2005 is/are not request that any objection to the objection sheet(s) including the correct eclaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119					
a) All b) S 1. Certifie 2. Certifie 3. Copies applica	ent is made of a claim for foreign some * c) None of: d copies of the priority documents d copies of the priority documents of the certified copies of the prior tion from the International Bureau ed detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
	's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/785,678

Art Unit: 2613

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 2/22/05. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 20-29, 31-37, and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shishido et al (5,090,259) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Shishido et al, in Figures 2, 6, 62, 84, and 91, discloses a pipe-inspecting apparatus using substantially the same method for televising the interior of a pipeline as specified in claims 20-29, 31-37, and 39-43 of the present invention, comprising the steps of attaching a camera 8 to a transporter (Fig. 1A); connecting electrically a power

control unit 74 to the camera 8; connecting electrically a communication module 86 to the power control unit; connecting a receiver 3 to the communication module 86; placing the transporter in a pipeline 101; providing a master control module having a transmitter 92; and transmitting an electrical signal by a wireless communication 336 from the master control module to the receiver 3 to control the movement of the transporter.

With respect to claims 21-43, Shishido et al also discloses providing the pipeline with a manhole, lowering the transporter into the manhole, manipulating the operation of the transporter when lowering thereof (Fig. 84); providing an electrically powered tractor (A-C); disabling electrical power to the transporter when the master control module 336 is a predetermined distance (e.g. out of range) from the communication module; transmitting from the master control module to the communication module through an opaque barrier 91; providing the master control module with an on-off keyed signal 94; providing a video monitor 75 for viewing the output of the camera 8; providing a reel of electrical cable 1 connected between the power control unit 74 and the transporter, displaying the distance traveled by the transporter by monitoring the movement of the electrical cable 1 with an encoder (e.g. feed/take-up controller); and attaching the communication module 86 exterior (Fig. 2) or inside the power control unit (Fig. 6).

It is noted Shishido et al differs from the present invention in that it fails to particularly disclose any details of the camera operation. Applicant's admitted prior art, however, teaches the concept of such a well known tilting and panning camera (e.g. UEMSI Explorer).

Art Unit: 2613

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, having both the references of Shishido et al and Applicant's admitted prior art before him/her, to exploit the common pan and tilt camera such as that made by UEMSI in the inspection apparatus of Shishido et al, in order to have the capability of panning from side to side or tilting up and down so that the entire pipeline interior surface 101 may be brought into view on an above-ground television monitor 75.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-29, 31-37, and 39-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant asserts on page 13 of the Remarks that Shishido et al fails to disclose electrical power. However, column 21, lines 11-12 (and Applicant's admitted prior art), discloses the concept of such common electrically powered tractor (A-C) that allows a camera to be mounted.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7334. The examiner can normally be reached on (571) 272-7334.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613